

Shri Mehar Chand,  
128, Street No. 3/3,  
Green Avenue, Bibi Wala Road,  
Bathinda.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Nathana, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Distt., Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1701 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Madan Lal, is present on behalf of Shri Mehar Chand, appellant.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nathana, Distt. Bathinda.

**O R D E R**

Shri Mehar Chand, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Nathana, Distt. Bathinda. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.02.2021. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.26 dated 12.03.2021. But not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 05.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Shri Madan Lal, authorized representative is present on behalf of the appellant, Shri Mehar Chand, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO.

The representative of the appellant stated that the information related with point nos. 1, 2 and 3 of the RTI application has been provided to the appellant by the SPIO, but regarding point no.4 of the RTI application, it is replied by the SPIO that this information is not related with the office of the SPIO i.e. BDPO, Nathana rather it relates with the office of the PWD (B&R) department.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 19.01.2021 and also gone through the reply dated 12.03.2021 sent by the SPIO.

The SPIO has provided the information related with point nos. 1, 2 and 3 of the RTI application to the appellant and regarding point no.4 of the RTI application, it is replied by the SPIO that this information is not related with the office of the SPIO i.e. BDPO, Nathana rather it relates with the office of the PWD (B&R) department. So, the appellant is advised that in case he wants to get the information related with point no. 4 of the RTI application, then he should file RTI application before the concerned public authority. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 20.07.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Ashok Kumar, S/o Shri Om Parkash,  
C/o Partap Singh,  
Kothi No. 2055, Sector, 15,  
Chandigarh.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
SAS Nagar.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1714 of 2021**

**Present:-** Advocate Daljit Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kumar, appellant.  
Shri Shingara Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE),  
Punjab, SAS Nagar.

**O R D E R**

Shri Ashok Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.02.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 05.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Advocate Daljit Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kumar, appellant, whereas Shri Shingara Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The counsel of the appellant stated that the information regarding point no.4(b) of the RTI application has been provided to the appellant, whereas information regarding point no.4(a) of the RTI application is still pending.

The respondent, who is present on behalf of the SPIO stated that the information regarding point no.4(a) of the RTI application is related with the office of the Punjab Subordinate Services Selection Board.

The SPIO has provided the information i.e. point no.4(b) of the RTI application to the appellant, which is related with the office of the public authority and the information regarding point no.4(a) of the RTI application is related with the office of the Punjab Subordinate Services Selection Board. So, the appellant is advised that in case he wants to get the information related with point no. 4(a) of the RTI application, then he should file RTI application before the concerned public authority. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 20.07.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Varinder Singh,  
S/o Shri Dalbir Singh,  
R/o Khanpur Rajputtan,  
P.O. Koti Gujran, Tehsil Shahkot,  
Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Complainant.

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o BDPO, Lohiya Khas,  
Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 959 of 2020**

**Present:-** Shri Varinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.  
None present on behalf of Shri Bhupinder Singh, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Lohiya Khas, Distt. Jalandhar.

**O R D E R**

Shri Varinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 22.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Lohiya Khas, Distt. Jalandhar.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.**

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.SPL/1 dated 19.07.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receipt of Shri Varinder Singh, complainant/applicant.

I have gone through letter no.SPL/1 dated 19.07.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information has been provided to Shri Varinder Singh, complainant/applicant and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- has also been paid to him. I have also gone through the copy of duly signed receipt of Shri Varinder Singh, applicant and it is submitted by him that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- has also been paid to him vide Draft No.524183 dated 29.06.2021.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and also paid the amount of compensation of Rs.2,000/- vide Draft No.524183 dated 29.06.2021 to the applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 20.07.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Manjit Singh, S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh,  
Village Manemajra,  
Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,  
Distt. Ropar.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab,  
Sector 34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab,  
Sector 34, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3436 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Manjit Singh, appellant is absent.  
Shri Sandeep Kumar, SPIO-cum-Supdt. O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare,  
PB., Chd. is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Manjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, PB., Chandigarh.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.**

The appellant is absent today, whereas the SPIO is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 26.05.2021, the information/reply regarding point nos.8 and 9 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 15.07.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2267 dated 15.07.2021 vide which the information/reply regarding point nos.8 and 9 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant.

I have gone through letter no.2267 dated 15.07.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO regarding point no.8 of the RTI application that there is no record in the office regarding the sought for information. It is further submitted by the SPIO regarding point no.9 of the RTI application that the appellant should submit the names of the specific employees to the office of the SPIO, so that the sought for information be provided to him.

So, as per the reply of the SPIO, the appellant is directed to submit the names of the specific employees to the office of the SPIO within seven days and the SPIO is further directed that in case the appellant would submit the names of the specific employees to his notice, then the attested copies of information be sent to the appellant through registered post within a week from the receipt of names of employees and compliance report be sent back to the Commission accordingly. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 20.07.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Ranjit Singh, Ex SI (PP),  
H.No. 987, Street No. 8, Ward No. 23,  
Basant Nagar, Khanna.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Khanna.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o DGP, Punjab,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3454 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant is absent.  
ASI Amarjit Singh-415/Khanna (97800-01412) is present on behalf of the SPIO  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.

**O R D E R**

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.** The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 26.05.2021 passed by the Commission, the information regarding the rent receipt and the house rent paid by the department to the concerned employees has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.947/RTI dated 06.07.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 16.07.2021, diarized vide no.15768, which is a copy of letter no.1025 dated 14.07.2021 along with the copies of information.

I have gone through letter no.1025 dated 14.07.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that in compliance to the Order dated 26.05.2021 passed by the Commission, the information regarding the rent receipt and the house rent paid by the department to the concerned employees has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.947/RTI dated 06.07.2021.

The appellant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail and submitted that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through Order dated 26.05.2021 and after discussion with the respondent, the SPIO was directed to send the attested copies of sought for information i.e. rent receipt and the house rent paid by the department to the concerned employees to the appellant through registered post within 10 days.

The SPIO has complied with the Order dated 26.05.2021 and sent the information to the appellant vide letter no.947/RTI dated 06.07.2021, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**Dated: 20.07.2021**



Shri Pardeep Singh,  
S/o Shri Karnail Singh,  
R/o H. No. 17, Ward No.9,  
Village Nayagaon, Tehsil Moonak,  
Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Anndana at Moonak,  
Tehsil Moonak, Distt. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/ District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1360 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Pardeep Singh, appellant is present.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Anndana at Moonak, Tehsil Moonak, Distt. Sangrur.

**O R D E R**

Shri Pardeep Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Anndana at Moonak, Tehsil Moonak, Distt. Sangrur.

**Today the case was heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO. The appellant stated that the sought for information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he is satisfied with the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the BDPO, Anndana at Moonak, which is a copy of letter written by Shri Dimple, Panchayat Secretary along with the duly signed receipt of Shri Pardeep Singh, appellant.

I have gone through the letter written by Shri Dimple, Panchayat Secretary and it is submitted by him that he has provided the complete information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information. I have also gone through the duly signed receipt of Shri Pardeep Singh, appellant and it is submitted by him that Shri Dimple, Panchayat Secretary has provided him the sought for information on 16.07.2021 and he further requested to close the case.

As the sought for information has been provided by the SPIO to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 20.07.2021**

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Tejinder Singh,  
Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex,  
Backside Sanjh Kender,  
Phillaur-144410.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o SHO, Police Station,  
Sahnewal,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/ Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1456 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant is present .  
ASI Randhir Singh, Police Station, Koom Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana is present.

**O R D E R**

Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Sahnewal, Distt. Ludhiana.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present. The Respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 07.07.2021 and also sent on the e-mail of the appellant on 10.07.2021.

The appellant stated that the information sent by the SPIO is in the hand written format, which is not legible.

So, the SPIO is directed that the sought for information in the typed format be sent to the appellant through registered post within two days and compliance report be sent to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 20.07.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**